

estudy2: an R package for the event study in insurance

Igor Rudnytskyi

Joint work with Markus Kreutzer and Joël Wagner

London

July 11, 2016

Introduction

- Purposes:
 - examine the impact of selected shocks and their significance on the stock valuation of insurance companies
 - investigate the relation of companies characteristics and the effect caused by such events
 - compare different test statistics on the same set of events and firms
- Approach: event study analysis
- Application:
 - for academic literature: add to the understanding of the market stock valuation behavior of non-life insurers
 - for practitioners: improve companies in their risk, investment and crisis management strategies

Methodology



Market models:

- Adjusted mean-returns model:

$$R_{i,t} = \bar{R}_i + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

$$A_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - \bar{R}_i$$

- Adjusted market-returns model:

$$R_{i,t} = R_{M,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

$$A_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - R_{M,t}$$

- Single-index market model:

$$R_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \beta_i \cdot R_{M,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

$$A_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_i \cdot R_{M,t}$$


Methodology (cont.)

- Parametric tests:
 - Student's t -test
 - Brown and Warner (1980)
 - Brown and Warner (1985)
 - Patell (1976)
 - Boehmer et al. (1991)
 - Lamb (1995)
- Nonparametric tests:
 - Sign test
 - Generalized sign test
 - Corrado and Zivney (1992)
 - Rank test
 - Modified rank test
 - Wilcoxon signed-rank test

Existing commercial solutions

- eventstudy.com
- eventstudymetrics.com
- eventstudytools.com*





Reference case of 9/11 terrorist attacks: Setup

- 31 European non-life companies
17 FL, 10 P&C, 4 Re
- $\Delta = 120$, $w_b = 0$, $w_a = 17$
- Single-index market model with
STOXX Global 1800 as proxy is
used



Reference case of 9/11 terrorist attacks: Parametric tests

Date	W.day	A_t , %	BW1980		BW1985		t-test		Patell		BMP		Lamb	
09-11	Tues	-5.624	-15.090	***	-11.229	***	-4.961	***	-19.424	***	-4.855	***	-10.942	***
09-12	Wed	-3.664	-9.829	***	-7.314	***	-2.674	**	-11.190	***	-1.934	*	-7.280	***
09-13	Thurs	-0.286	-0.767		-0.571		-0.282		-1.344		-0.353		-0.568	
09-14	Fri	-3.097	-8.310	***	-6.184	***	-4.081	***	-10.881	***	-4.061	***	-6.111	***
09-17	Mon	0.673	1.806	*	1.344		0.763		2.767	***	0.993		1.302	
09-18	Tues	-0.512	-1.373		-1.022		-0.722		-1.266		-0.487		-1.016	
09-19	Wed	-1.061	-2.846	***	-2.118	**	-1.022		-1.560		-0.603		-2.101	**
09-20	Thurs	-5.064	-13.587	***	-10.111	***	-5.516	***	-15.608	***	-4.686	***	-9.814	***
09-21	Fri	-4.292	-11.515	***	-8.568	***	-4.401	***	-16.331	***	-4.829	***	-8.505	***
09-24	Mon	3.496	9.381	***	6.981	***	3.418	***	10.527	***	3.491	***	6.750	***
09-25	Tues	1.573	4.221	***	3.141	***	1.820	*	4.479	***	1.531		3.126	***
09-26	Wed	2.475	6.641	***	4.942	***	3.691	***	8.779	***	3.361	***	4.921	***
09-27	Thurs	0.400	1.074		0.799		0.396		1.905	*	0.525		0.792	
09-28	Fri	1.437	3.855	***	2.869	***	1.918	*	6.270	***	2.211	**	2.788	***

*, **, *** stands for statistically significant at the 10%, 5%, 1% percent level, respectively, for two-sided tests.

09/11 - 09/21: significantly negative abnormal returns
09/24 - 09/28: significantly positive abnormal returns

Reference case of 9/11 terrorist attacks: Nonparametric tests

Date	W.day	Sign	G.sign	C.sign	Rank	M.rank	Wlcx
09-11	Tues	-3.413 ***	-3.628 ***	-2.019 **	-2.828 ***	-2.907 ***	48.000 ***
09-12	Wed	-3.413 ***	-3.628 ***	-2.131 **	-2.242 **	-2.331 **	98.000 ***
09-13	Thurs	-0.180	-0.392	0.336	-0.187	-0.199	240.000
09-14	Fri	-3.413 ***	-3.628 ***	-2.131 **	-2.693 ***	-2.789 ***	52.000 ***
09-17	Mon	0.180	-0.033	0.112	0.134	0.110	268.000
09-18	Tues	-0.539	-0.752	-0.112	-0.568	-0.579	207.000
09-19	Wed	-0.898	-1.111	-0.561	-0.510	-0.532	207.000
09-20	Thurs	-3.413 ***	-3.628 ***	-2.131 **	-3.054 ***	-3.152 ***	33.000 ***
09-21	Fri	-3.413 ***	-3.628 ***	-1.906 *	-2.873 ***	-2.943 ***	57.000 ***
09-24	Mon	3.053 ***	2.843 ***	1.906 *	2.537 **	2.611 ***	403.000 ***
09-25	Tues	1.976 **	1.764 *	1.234	1.407	1.446	348.000 **
09-26	Wed	3.772 ***	3.562 ***	1.906 *	2.570 **	2.642 ***	430.000 ***
09-27	Thurs	-0.180	-0.392	-0.336	-0.071	-0.099	253.000
09-28	Fri	1.616	1.405	1.009	1.244	1.286	347.000 **

*, **, *** stands for statistically significant at the 10%, 5%, 1% percent level, respectively, for two-sided tests.

09/11 - 09/21: significantly negative abnormal returns
09/24 - 09/28: significantly positive abnormal returns

Research summary and main findings

The impact of 13 major catastrophes (6 hurricanes, 3 earthquakes, 2 winter storms, and 2 airline crashes) on 87 listed non-life insurer have been analyzed:

- There is no clear pattern in stock responses to catastrophes
- North American and Western European companies behave differently
- Only for several events the market capitalization is the essential characteristic, which influence the reaction
- Reinsurance companies are the most sensitive to the catastrophe events

Planned updates

- Incorporate dividends to the rate of return
- Use a value-weighted and equally-weighted index
- Implement other market models (e.g. Fama and French 3-factor model)
- Use GARCH for stock prices modeling

Thank you!